

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

PAUL MITCHELL,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	3:07-cv-00627-LRH-RAM
)	
v.)	
)	<u>ORDER</u>
BOARD OF PAROLE)	
COMMISSIONERS, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Before this Court is Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge Robert A. McQuaid, Jr., (#42¹) entered on February 11, 2010, recommending dismissal of action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff filed his Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#43) on February 25, 2010, and Defendants filed their Response to Objections to Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge on March 12, 2010 (#44), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 1B 3-2 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections of the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's

¹Refers to court's docket number.

1 Report and Recommendation (#42) entered on February 11, 2010, should be adopted and accepted.

2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#42)
3 entered on February 11, 2010, is adopted and accepted, and this action is dismissed for lack of subject
4 matter jurisdiction.

5 The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED this 30th day of March, 2010.

8
9 

10
11

LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE